ALEXANDER GALLOWAY in *Gaming: Essays on Algorithmic Culture* argues that play “is a symbolic action for larger issues in culture” (16) and that video games “render social realities into playable form” (17). Using a broad archive of “imagined worlds”—drawing on literature, video games, text games and hypertext, film, and scholarship—this course will identify and explore some of the key concepts, the key moves, and the key terms of the interdisciplinary fields of cultural studies.

CENTRAL QUESTIONS AND ENGAGEMENTS INCLUDE: What are the different critical practices and methodologies of cultural studies? How might we employ different cultural studies approaches and lenses to these virtual worlds and video games? Why study these “imagined worlds,” how are they important, and what values do they have? In this course, we will look at and analyze texts of media old and new through the lenses of cultural studies and deploy virtual worlds and video games as theories about and dramatizations of different social relationships and realities, to unpack and analyze the intersections of cultural formations like race, gender, class, nation, and sexuality, particularly in the US context. We will look at how video games can be rhetorical, political, and popular tools, and in the words of Gonzalo Frasca, how “they can be used for conveying passionate ideas...to deliver an ideological message.” Moreover, Henry Jenkins adds that we should “look at games as an emerging art form...and talk about how to strike a balance between this form of expression and social responsibility” (120).

READINGS MAY INCLUDE IN WHOLE OR IN EXCERPT by: Bruce Burgett and Glenn Hendler’s *Keywords for American Cultural Studies*, Raymond Williams, Stuart Hall, Orson Scott Card, Shelley Jackson, Alexander Galloway, Ian Bogost, Lisa Nakamura, Maureen McHugh, Henry Jenkins, Donna Haraway, Cory Doctorow, Julian Dibbell, and Gonzalo Frasca. Digital and visual texts may include: Will Crowther’s *Adventure*, Jason Rohrer’s *Façade*, Tron, Monster Camp, America’s Army, Pos or Not, Frasca’s *September 12*, *SuperColumbineMassacre RPG*, and *World of Warcraft*.

NEW MEDIA AND GAME PLAY will be a required part of the class. Students will be required to keep a weekly “plog” (play log). Moreover, students will produce short, one-page, weekly critical response papers, which will potentially be used to develop into a larger project. Students seeking W-credit will be accommodated.

Requirements & Grading

Your grade should not be the sole exigence or motivation for this class. It is the hope of the course that you walk away from English 207 with something more, with a curiosity about the world around you. Find some pleasure and some edification and some knowledge from this class (or any class really) and success is usually not far behind. With that in mind, your grade will be a reflection of engagement, effort, close reading, critical thinking, writing, and participation.
Critical Response Papers (30%)

The majority of the writing you will do for this class is in the form of short, critical, analytical response papers. These single-spaced, one-page writings serve as reactions to, close readings of, and analyses of the games and texts and the connections you see, read, play, and talk about in class. These responses are more than just summaries or personal reactions and will be graded on clarity, coherence, critique, and how well you concisely formulate arguments. Response papers are due weekly, but you need only complete a minimum of 6. See the response paper prompt for more details.

Plog, or, Play Log (10%)

Each week you will be introduced to one or more games, virtual worlds, stories, or theoretical texts. You will be required to keep a weekly “plog” or “play log” about the games that you play and the texts that you read. Plog entries will be short reactions, responses, meditations, and provocations that engage the game and your play on a critical, analytical, or theoretical level. Plogs will be posted to the class blog. See the plog prompt for more details.

In-Class Quizzes (20%)

There will be four in-class quizzes at various times during the quarter. These quizzes serve as a review of the preceding week’s main ideas, terms, games, and readings. These quizzes will include identifications, short answers, and a brief essay.

Critical Review (10%)

You will be required to write a short, 500 or so word, single-spaced critical review of a game or a text not covered by the course that you believe fits the critical, theoretical, and intellectual stakes of this class. You will locate a game or text, close read it, and generate an academic assessment of the game or text’s value for study. In other words, what game might you include in a class like ours? What text? You must have your game or text approved by the instructor. The critical review will be turned in and published on the course blog and is due by the last week of class.

Participation and Preparedness (30%)

Preparedness and participation forms a large component of your final grade. It is essential that you prepare for class, attend class, and participate. Missing class may seriously compromise your ability to do well in this class. Again, negative participation will hurt your participation grade. Participation is determined by 1) your respectful presence in class, 2) your willingness to discuss, comment, and ask questions, 3) your preparation for class, which includes bringing required materials to class and doing all of the assigned reading for class, 4) your presentation and engagement in group work, 5) your use of the class blog, 6) and your interactions with me and other students. Finally, failure to turn in homework, incomplete assignments, or late papers will negatively impact your participation grade.

As part of your participation grade, you will be a required to sign up for a game play oral presentation once during the quarter. For your presentation, you will play the game for that day, you will read the texts assigned for that week, and then generate a critical and analytical question to get class discussion started for the day. You will be required to create a 1-page handout copied for the whole class that may include: a brief biography of the writer or game creator, a brief synopsis of the text or game, your critical question, and any other information you feel is useful or relevant.
Presentations are 3 to 5 minutes, should include a demonstration of play, and may be done in small groups.

Moreover, you will be required to participate generally on the class web log. Please bookmark the blog address, check the site regularly, and feel free to comment and post regularly. The class blog will be used for announcements, assignment reminders, updates to the syllabus, as well as questions, inquiries, and an extension of in-class discussion. Blog commenting, responding to other students’ plogs, and posting will be taken into account in evaluating class participation. See the class blog for details on blog etiquette and rules of engagement: https://catalysttools.washington.edu/gopost/board/changed/15676/

**W-Credit**

In addition to the Critical Response Papers, if you are seeking W Credit for the class, you be required to complete a final major paper. See the Keyword Major prompt for details. In total, you must produce a minimum of 10-15 pages of formal, revised writing and earn a minimum of a 2.0 on the Major Paper to get W-Credit.

**Attendance**

**Attendance is strongly recommended.** If you are absent, you miss the explanation of an assignment, an in-class exercise or workshop, the discussion of a reading, and overall, the class as a community of learning. It is in your best interests to come to class. Also, you are expected to be in class on time. Class will start immediately at the appointed time. In the first minutes of class I may make important announcements, establish the agenda for the class meeting, begin immediately with an important lesson, or field questions. Therefore, it is particularly important for you to arrive on time, especially for a fifty-minute class. If you come in after I start class, even by only a few minutes, you are late and I will mark you as such.

Chronic or conspicuous attendance problems will negatively affect your class participation grade. If you know you are going to miss class, please let me know ahead of time (via email), provide any pertinent documentation, and we will make any necessary arrangements. And when you do miss class, always find another student to get class notes and see me in order to make up missed work in a timely manner. If you miss a great deal of the quarter, you are recommended strongly to take the course during a quarter in which you can more easily attend class.

**Assignment Format**

All papers must be typed or produced on a word processor. Word processing is preferable because it makes the mechanics of revision—rerearranging, adding, and deleting—easy. If you do not have your own computer with word processing capability, computer labs are available on campus with a variety of software that is easy to learn. All documents should be saved in Microsoft Word format; if you do not have access to Word, then save your documents in RTF or Rich Text Format.

All papers must follow the manuscript format outlined by the assignment. **All papers must use MLA citation and documentation conventions.** Papers that do not follow the format guidelines will not be accepted. They will be returned unread to you. Papers will be regarded as late until they are resubmitted in the proper format. Keyword Major Papers follow standard MLA format, but the Critical Review has different manuscript guidelines. Pay attention to their assignment prompts.
Always make a backup copy of every paper you turn in, lest you be one of the unhappy people whose paper is eaten by the computer. You may even want to take the precaution of e-mailing your paper to yourself as an attachment at least a couple of times during the drafting process and certainly BEFORE you exit the document for the last time and leave the computer lab, your friend’s computer, or even your own computer. This way, even if you lose your disc or your paper gets mysteriously erased, you still have a copy in your e-mail files.

Evaluation Rubric

Over the course of the quarter, your assignments will receive feedback and comments that will identify what you are doing well and what still needs improvement. Your grades assess your fulfillment of the assignment, the quality of work, detail, analysis, and argumentation, overall effort, and finally, style, polish, and risk taking. Consider the following evaluation rubric as signposts or a kind of legend to your progress and evaluation:

- **Outstanding** (3.7-4.0): Offers a very highly proficient, even memorable demonstration of the trait(s) associated with the course or assignment goal(s), including some appropriate risk-taking and/or creativity.
- **Strong** (3.1-3.6): Offers a proficient demonstration of the trait(s) associated with the course or assignment goal(s), which could be further enhanced with revision, additional support, and creativity.
- **Good** (2.5-3.0): Effectively demonstrates the trait(s) associated with the course or assignment goal(s), but less proficiently; could use revision to demonstrate more skillful and nuanced command of trait(s).
- **Acceptable** (2.0-2.4): Minimally meets the basic course or assignment requirement, but the demonstrated trait(s) are not fully realized or well-controlled and would benefit from significant revision.
- **Inadequate** (1.0-1.9): Does not meet the course or assignment requirement; the trait(s) are not adequately demonstrated and require substantial revision on multiple levels.

Finding Help

My office and office hours are listed at the front of the course policies. I am available during that time and by appointment to help you. I encourage you to come see early in the quarter even if it is just to talk about the class, about the assignments, or about school in general. I may ask you to meet with me when I think a conference would be useful. My office is located in the ground floor of Padelford Hall (northeast of the HUB), Room B-33. See [http://www.washington.edu/home/maps/northcentral.html#pd](http://www.washington.edu/home/maps/northcentral.html#pd).
“If one is lucky, a solitary fantasy can totally transform one million realities.”
—Maya Angelou

“An idea that is not dangerous is unworthy of being called an idea at all.”
—Oscar Wilde

“To be truly radical is to make hope possible rather than despair convincing.”
—Raymond Williams

I am also available electronically by email and the course blog. Email and
the blog are the best means of contacting me. I will do my best to answer
your emails and blog posts, usually within twenty-four hours. If there is
an emergency and you need to reach me, please contact the Undergraduate
English office in A-2HG Padelford. Furthermore, when time permits, I will
supplement my office hours with virtual hours via AOL Instant Messenger
(AIM or Google Talk nickname: EDagogy); if I am logged in, during
reasonable hours, you are more than welcome to discuss the class or ask
questions. Please, when you initiate an IM conversation for the first time,
please identify yourself to me; also, be patient because my responses may
not be immediate.

You can find additional writing help at the Odegaard Writing and Research
Center (OWRC) for this class and other classes. OWRC is located on
the third floor of Odegaard Library and offers a variety of services including help
with papers, brainstorming ideas, help with reading, and research. See
<http://depts.washington.edu/owrc/> for more information. Moreover, the
Center for Learning and Undergraduate Enrichment (CLUE) is also a good
resource. CLUE is located in Mary Gates Hall Commons and offers tutorial
sessions for most freshman lecture courses, skills courses, access to
computer labs, and drop-in centers for math, science and writing. See

Further resources, both on- and off-campus can be found on the Links page
of the course website:

Academic Dishonesty

Plagiarism, or academic dishonesty, is presenting someone else’s ideas or
writing as your own. In your writing for this class, you are encouraged to
refer to other people’s thoughts and writing — as long as you cite them.
Many students do not have a clear understanding of what constitutes
plagiarism, so feel free to ask questions about these matters at any time.
Plagiarism includes:

• a student failing to cite sources of ideas
• a student failing to cite sources of paraphrased material
• a student failing to cite sources of specific language and/or passages
• a student submitting someone else’s work as his or her own
• a student submitting his or her own work produced for another class

If you have any doubt about how to cite or acknowledge another’s writing,
please talk to me. It is always better to be safe than sorry. As a matter of
policy, any student found to have plagiarized any piece of writing in this
class will be immediately reported to the College of Arts and Sciences for
review. For further information, please refer to UW’s Student Conduct Code
at <http://www.washington.edu/students/handbook/conduct.html>. Play
it smart, don’t plagiarize!

Accommodations

If you have a registered disability that will require accommodation, please
see me immediately. If you have a disability and have not yet registered it
with Disability Resources for Students in 448 Schmitz Hall, you should do so
immediately. Please contact DRS at 206-543-8924 (Voice) or 206-543-8925
(V/TTY) or 206-616-8379 (FAX) or via their website at
<http://www.washington.edu/admin/ada/dss.htm>. I will gladly do my
best to provide appropriate accommodation you require.

“Both science and popular culture are intrinsically woven of fact and fiction.”
—Donna Haraway

“Every time I see an adult on a bicycle, I no longer despair for the future of the
human race.”
—H. G. Wells

“I entered the classroom with the conviction that it was crucial for me
and every other student to be an active participant, not a passive
consumer...[a conception of] education as the practice of freedom....
education that connects the will to know with the will to become. Learning is
a place where paradise can be created.”
—bell hooks
**syl-la-bus: n 1: a summary outline of a discourse, treatise, or course of study or of examination requirements  
2: subject to change**
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**Week 1: March 29-April 2**
Introduction to the Course & Keywords
Gravitation, I Wish I Were the Moon
  *Keywords: “Introduction” & “Culture”*
Williams, “Introduction” & “Culture” & “Literature” (course packet)
Galloway, Ch. 1 “Gamic Action”

**Week 2: April 5-9**
The Majesty of Colors, ImmoTall
  *Keywords, “Identity” & “Citizenship” + Barlow, “Declaration” (cp)*

**Week 3: April 12-16**
ADVENTURE, LambdaMOO, Pos or Not
  *Keywords, “Gender” & “Body” & “Sex”*
Dibbell, “A Rape in Cyberspace” (cp)

**Week 4: April 19-23**
Façade, Shelley Jackson, My Body
Maureen McHugh, “Virtual Love” & “A Coney Island of the Mind” (cp)
  *Keywords, “Queer” + Haraway, “A Cyborg Manifesto” (cp)*

**Week 5: April 26-30**
Orson Scott Card, Ender’s Game
America’s Army
  *Keywords “America” & “Democracy” & “Nation”*

**Week 6: May 3-7**
Orson Scott Card, Ender’s Game
America’s Army, Ethnic Cleansing
  *Keywords “White” + Galloway, Ch. 3 “Social Realism”*

**Week 7: May 10-14**
Disaffected!, September 12, SuperColumbineMassacre RPG
Gonzalo Frasca, “Ideological Video Games” & “Video Games of the Oppressed” + Henry Jenkins, “Art Form for the Digital Age” (cp)

**Week 8: May 17-21**
World of Warcraft
Tanner Higgin, “How I Use Leeroy Jenkins”
  *Keywords, “Race” & “Orientalism” & Nakamura, “Cyberrace” (cp)*

**Week 9: May 24-28**
World of Warcraft
Cory Doctorow, “Anda’s Game” (cp)
Bogost, “Taking Bully Seriously” + Galloway, Ch. 5 “Countergaming”

**Week 10: May 31-June 4**
World of Warcraft
Stuart Hall, “Cultural Studies: Two Paradigms” (cp)
Giroux et al, “The Need for Cultural Studies” (cp)
Steinkuehler, “Why Game (Culture) Studies Now?” (cp)

**Week 11:**
3/16 - Finals Begin, Final Paper Due

---

**Critical Review due by the end of Week 10 (posted to the class blog).**